

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,673	06/22/2006	Toshiyuki Inagaki	128357	5009
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 12/14/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	WALKER, KEITH D		
ALEXANDKIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No. 10/582,673		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination INAGAKI, TOSHIYUKI Art Unit		
*1058267					
3*	PATRICK RYAN	J	1726		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					
Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review					

	14/3
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brie	ef Request for Review filed <u>11/30/2010</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	uest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include	t been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. e reasons why a review is appropriate. cluded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
·	continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from unication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice	Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal om mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the SFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date.
The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 27-29&31-39 Claim(s) withdrawn from consid	
• •	nference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of n on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
•	erence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office on is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) /Patrick Ryan/.	(3)/William Krynski/.
(2) /Keith Walker/.	(4) .